Scheduling Irrigation How Much and When

Loren Oki Dept. of Plant Sciences and Dept. Human Ecology UC Davis

Your Sustainable Backyard Low Water Use Landscaping UC Davis November 8, 2014



University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources Learning Objectives

- How to calculate a schedule
 - Irrigation system factors
 - Why is soil important in scheduling?
 - Climate
 - Landscape factors
- Understanding other factors that influence irrigation management
- Is drip better?

Irrigation Objectives

- Provide water to plants
 - only when it is needed
 - only the amount that will be used
 - only where it can be used



Irrigation System Objectives

- Delivery
- Control

Irrigation System Objectives

Delivery

- Place water where it can be used
- Uniformly or in the desired pattern
- Apply water so the soil can absorb it



Irrigation System Objectives

- Delivery
- Control
 - When
 - Start irrigation
 - How much
 - Stop irrigation



Image: mfg website

• Focus on timer controllers

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - Soil texture
 - Landscape and plant coefficients
 - Climate (Water Use Rates)

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - How fast water is applied

Precipitation Rate

	-	mL	
		36	
Calculating DD		29	
 Calculating PR 		18	
Average of all (Avg.) m		19	
 Average of all (Avg_T), mL 		26	
- Catch can throat area (C), sq.in		33	
		16	
• This is 16.6 sq. in. for the ones used		22	
		38	
 Valve on duration (T), minutes 		22	
 Let's set this at 4 minutes 		14	
	-	21	_
	Avg _T =	24.5	

$$PR = \frac{Avg_T}{C x T} \times 3.66 = \frac{24.5}{16.6 x 4} \times 3.66 = 1.35 \text{ in/hr}$$

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - Soil texture
 - Plant available water

Soil Texture

Soil Te	exture	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)	Plant Avail Water (cm/cm)*	Irrig to Wet to Depth (in)**
Coarse	sand / fine sand	1.5	0.05	0.3
	loamy sand	1	0.07	0.42
Moderately Coarse	sandy loam	0.8	0.11	0.66
Medium	loam	0.4	0.16	0.96
	silty loam	0.25	0.2	1.2
	silt	0.3	0.2	1.2
Moderately Fine	sandy clay loam	0.1	0.15	0.9
	clay loam	0.07	0.16	0.96
	silty clay loam	0.05	0.18	1.08
Fine	sandy clay	0.08	0.12	0.72
	silty clay	0.05	0.14	0.84
	clay	0.05	0.15	0.9

*Irrigation Association Landscape Irrigation Auditor Manual page 177

**assume 50% dry down (managed allowable depletion) and 12 inch wetted depth 13

Soil Texture

Soil Te	exture	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)	Plant Avail Water (cm/cm)*	Irrig to Wet to Depth (in)**
Coarse	sand / fine sand	1.5	0.05	0.3
	loamy sand	1	0.07	0.42
Moderately Coarse	sandy loam	0.8	0.11	0.66
Medium	loam	0.4	0.16	0.96
	silty loam	0.25	0.2	1.2
	silt	0.3	0.2	1.2
Irrig to wet to d Desired depth to Plant avail wate Assume 50% dry	= 12")	x 0.2 x 50 ⁰	% = 1.2"	

*Irrigation Association Landscape Irrigation Auditor Manual page 177 **assume 50% dry down (managed allowable depletion) and 12 inch wetted depth 14

Run-time, Part 1

	Soil Texture	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)	Plant Avail Water (cm/cm)*	Irrig to Wet to Depth (in)**
Medium	silty loam	0.25	0.2	1.2

- Calculating Run-time
 - Lower Boundary (LB)
 - PR = 1.35 in/hr
 - Irrigation to wet to depth = 1.2"

Run-time, Part 2

- Calculating Run-time with DU
 - Upper Boundary (UB)
 - Scheduling multiplier (SM)
 - DU = 0.65
 - Lower boundary (LB) = 53 min.

- Things you know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - Soil texture

- Things you know
 - Distribution uniformity = 0.65
 - Precipitation rate = 1.35 in/hr
 - Soil texture
 - Lower boundary = 53 min
 - Upper boundary = 67 min
- This is how much to water
- Now we need to know when to irrigate

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - Soil texture
 - Landscape and plant coefficients (K_L)

Landscape Coefficients

- Information on plant water use
 - WUCOLS

www.ucanr.sites/WUCOLS

WUCOLS IV Water Use Classification of Landscape Species

Home Page User Manual

Plant Search Instructions

Plant Search Database

Download WUCOLS IV Plant List

Download WUCOLS TV User Manual

Water Requirements for Turforasses

Partners

Acknowledgements

Home Page

GETTING STARTED

If you are using the WUCOLS list for the first time, it is essential that you read the User Manual. The manual contains very important information regarding the evaluation process, categories of water needs, plant types, and climatic regions. It is necessary to know this information to use WUCOLS evaluations and the plant search tool appropriately. To access the User Manual, click on the tab (on left) and view specific topics.

🖒 SHARE 🖂 EMAIL 🔒 PRINT 🗮 SITE MAP

Water conservation is an essential consideration in the design and management of California landscapes. Effective strategies that increase water use efficiency must be identified and implemented. One key strategy to increase efficiency is matching water supply to plant needs. By supplying only the amount of water needed to maintain landscape health and appearance, unnecessary applications that exceed plant needs can be avoided. Doing so, however, requires some knowledge of plant water needs.

WUCOLS IV provides evaluations of the irrigation water needs for over 3,500 taxa (taxonomic plant groups) used in California landscapes. It is based on the observations and extensive field experience of thirty-six landscape horticulturists (see the section "Regional Committees") and provides guidance in the selection and care of landscape plants relative to their water needs.

WUCOLS IV provides an assessment of

irrigation water needs for over 3,500 taxa. Photo by Ellen Zadory

Project Background

The WUCOLS project was initiated and funded by the Water Use Efficiency Office of the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). Work was directed by the University of California Cooperative Extension, San Francisco and San Mateo County office. The first edition of the guide was completed in 1992. A second edition was published in 1994, and a third edition in 1999. In each new edition, additional species were evaluated and included.

Current Update: The 4th Edition

The 4th edition represents a substantial expansion in the number of plant evaluations. Over 1,500

Image: website



Enter Search Term

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - Soil texture
 - Landscape and plant coefficients (K_L)
 - Climate (ET₀)



CIMIS

- C alifornia I rrigation Management I nformation S ystem
- Collects weather info
- Estimates plant water use
- More than 120 stations

Water use reports are used with a crop or landscape coefficient to estimate site water use

http://www.cimis.water.ca.gov/cimis/


Climate

Water use models

- Based on weather data
- Requires previous research
- Crop specific
- Easy to use

Climate

 Water use models Reference ET (ET_0) is reported (CIMIS) Crop coefficient (K_c) is necessary Determine ET_{crop} (ET_c) to estimate crop water use so, $ET_c = ET_0 \times K_c$ **Example: citrus orchard** $K_{c} = 0.65$ If $ET_0 = 0.5''$, then crop water use is 0.325" $(0.325=0.5 \times 0.65)$



DEL DRTE SISKIYOU 10	14	128	132"	\$24*	120"	
SISKIYOU	DEL	TREA	5.4	<u>e</u>	9_	12-
	URIE			MOD		

Reference EvapoTranspiration (ETo) Zones

TAL PLAINS HEAVY FOG BELT It ETo in California. Characterized by dense fog. 100 NORTI Sefect

COASTAL MIXED FOG AREA Less fog and higher ETo than zone 1 CENTRAL SIERRA NEVADA CENTRAL SIERRA NEVADA Sierra Nevada Mountain valleys east of Sacramento with some influence from the delta breeze in summ

AND NORTH COAST MOUNTAINS

Zone	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
1	0.93	1.40	2.48	3.30	4.03	4.50	4.65	4.03	3.30	2.48	1.20	0.62	32.9
2	1.24	1.68	3.10	3.90	4.65	5.10	4.96	4.65	3.90	2.79	1.80	1.24	39.0
3	1.86	2.24	3.72	4.80	5.27	5.70	5.58	5.27	4.20	3.41	2.40	1.86	46.3
4	1.86	2.24	3.41	4.50	5.27	5.70	5.89	5.58	4.50	3.41	2.40	1.86	46.0
5	0.93	1.68	2.79	4.20	5.58	6.30	6.51	5.89	4.50	3.10	1.50	0.93	43.9
6	1.86	2.24	3.41	4.80	5.58	6.30	6.51	6.20	4.80	3.72	2.40	1.86	49.
7	0.62	1.40	2.48	3.90	5.27	6.30	7.44	6.51	4.80	2.79	1.20	0.62	43.3
8	1.24	1.68	3.41	4.80	6.20	6.90	7.44	6.51	5.10	3.41	1.80	0.93	49.
9	2.17	2.80	4.03	5.10	5.89	6.60	7.44	6.82	5.70	4.03	2.70	1.86	55.
10	0.93	1.68	3.10	4.50	5.89	7.20	8.06	7.13	5.10	3.10	1.50	0.93	49.
11	1.55	2.24	3.10	4.50	5.89	7.20	8.06	7.44	5.70	3.72	2.10	1.55	53.
12	1.24	1.96	3.41	5.10	6.82	7.80	8.06	7.13	5.40	3.72	1.80	0.93	53.
13	1.24	1.96	3.10	4.80	6.51	7.80	8.99	7.75	5.70	3.72	1.80	0.93	54.
14	1.55	2.24	3.72	5.10	6.82	7.80	8.68	7.75	5.70	4.03	2.10	1.55	57.0
15	1.24	2.24	3.72	5.70	7.44	8.10	8.68	7.75	5.70	4.03	2.10	1.24	57.9
16	1.55	2.52	4.03	5.70	7.75	8.70	9.30	8.37	6.30	4.34	2.40	1.55	62.
17	1.86	2.80	4.65	6.00	8.06	9.00	9.92	8.68	6.60	4.34	2.70	1.86	66.
18	2.48	3.36	5.27	6.90	8.68	9.60	9.61	8.68	6.90	4.96	3.00	2.17	71.6

ETo Zones

California

Variablity between stations within single zones is as high as 0.02 inches per day for zone 1 and during winter months in zone 13. The average standard deviation of the ETo between estimation sites within a zone for all months is about 0.01 inches per day for all 200 sites.



- So,
 - We know how much to apply (1.2 in)
 - Replaces ½ of field capacity
- Then,
 - We need to estimate when that amount of water is used
 - We know our plants
 - We have info about the climate

- Landscape and plant coefficients (K_L)
 - For this example, 0.4
- Climate (Water Use Rates)

Monthly Average	Reference	Evapotrans	piration by	ETo Zon	e (inches/month)
			······································		

Zone	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total
14	1.55	2.24	3.72	5.10	6.82	7.80	8.68	7.75	5.70	4.03	2.10	1.55	57.0

- For this example, we'll use
 October = 4.03 in/month
- ET_{day} = 4.03 in ÷ 31 days = 0.13 in/day

How fast is our landscape using water?
ET_L = ET_{day} x K_L
ET_{day} = 0.13 in/day
K_L = 0.4

 $ET_{L} = 0.13 \times 0.4 = 0.07 \text{ in/day}$

- Determine when to irrigate
 - Irrigation application = 1.2 in
 - $ET_{L} = 0.07$ in/day
- Accumulate ET_L
- When accumulated total reaches 1.2 in
- Irrigate!

ET _{day}	= 0.13
K	= 0.4
ETL	= 0.07
Irrig	= 1.2

Day	Total ET _L
1	0.07
2	0.14
3	0.21
4	0.28
5	0.35
6	0.42
7	0.49
8	0.56
9	0.63
10	0.70

 $ET_{day} = 0.13$ $K_L = 0.4$ $ET_L = 0.07$ Irrig = 1.2


Day	Total ET _L	Day	Total ET _L
1	0.07	11	0.77
2	0.14	12	0.84
3	0.21	13	0.91
4	0.28	14	0.98
5	0.35	15	1.05
6	0.42	16	1.12
7	0.49	17	1.19
8	0.56	18	0.06
9	0.63	19	0.13
10	0.70	20	0.20

 $1.19 + 0.07 (ET_L) = 1.26 - 1.20 (Irrig) = 0.06$

 $ET_{day} = 0.13$ $K_L = 0.4$ $ET_L = 0.07$ Irrig = 1.2

Day	Total ET _i	Day	Total ET _i	Day	Total ET _l
1	0.07	11	0.77	21	0.27
2	0.14	12	0.84	22	0.34
3	0.21	13	0.91	23	0.41
4	0.28	14	0.98	24	0.48
5	0.35	15	1.05	25	0.55
6	0.42	16	1.12	26	0.62
7	0.49	17	1.19	27	0.69
8	0.56	18	0.06	28	0.76
9	0.63	19	0.13	29	0.83
10	0.70	20	0.20	30	0.90

33



Day	Total ET _L
1	0.07
2	0.14
3	0.21
4	0.28
5	0.35
6	0.42
7	0.49
8	0.56
9	0.63
10	0.70

- For more accuracy
 - Use actual daily ET
 - Obtain from CIMIS
 - Calculate & accumulate actual rather than historical ET_L

- Things you need to know
 - Distribution uniformity
 - Precipitation rate
 - Soil texture
 - Landscape and plant coefficients (K_L)
 - Climate (ET₀)

More Things to Consider

- Adjust controllers monthly
 - Program for monthly changes in ET_{day}
- Interval vs. duration
 - Increase interval between irrigations
 - DO NOT reduce run times
 - Affects wetting depth

Precipitation Rates

Precipitation rate

- How fast water is applied
- Infiltration rates
 - How fast water enters soil



Precipitation > Infiltration = RUNOFF

Precipitation Rates


- Note interval when runoff occurs
- Example:
 - Duration to runoff is 6 minutes
 - Upper boundary is 30 minutes
 - 6 minute duration, 5 times
 - "Cycle-Soak" or "Pulsing"

Precipitation > Infiltration = RUNOFF

Delivery Method

- Upgrade sprinklers if possible
- At three study sites upgrades resulted in DU increases of 21%, 24%, and 18%







Time of Day

- Why early in the day?
 - Lower temperatures
 - Less wind



Deep irrigation

Deep irrigation

Fills a larger volume of soil to provide water to plants

 Use a soil probe to check wetting depth



Drip Irrigation

- Can it save water?
- Does it save water?

	TURF									SHRUB & GROUNDCOVER														
GENERAL GUIDELINES		CLAY SOIL			LOAM SOIL		SANDY SOIL		SOIL	COARSE SOIL			CLAY SOIL		LOAM SOIL			SANDY SOIL			COARSE SOIL			
EMITTER FLOW	0.26 GPH			0	.4 GPH		0.6 GPH			0.9 GPH			0.26 GP		РН	0.	0.4 GPH		0.6 GPH		н	0.9 GPH		H
EMITTER SPACING	18″		18"			12"			12"			18″			18″			12"			12"			
LATERAL (ROW) SPACING	18"	20″	22"	18"	20"	22"	12"	14″	16″	12″	14"	16″	18″	21"	24"	18″	21″	24″	16″	18"	20"	16"	18″	20"
BURIAL DEPTH	Bury evenly throughout the zone from 4" to 6"												0	n-surf the			even naxim			out				
APPLICATION RATE (INCHES/HOUR)	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.98	0.84	0.73	1.48	1.27	1.11	0.19	0.16	0.14	0.30	0.26	0.23	0.73	0.65	0.59	1.11	0.99	0.8
TIME TO APPLY ¼" OF WATER (MINUTES)	80	89	97	50	55	61	15	18	20	10	12	13	80	93	106	50	58	66	20	23	26	13	15	17

Note: 0.4, 0.6 and 0.9 GPH are nominal flow rates. Actual flow rates used in the calculations are 0.42, 0.61 and 0.92 GPH.

Drip Irrigation

- Precipitation rates approach those of overhead
- Proper management is key

GENERAL GUIDELINES						T	RF		-			
		CLAY SOIL			LOAM SOIL			NDY :	SOIL	COA	RSE	SOII
EMITTER FLOW	0.3	0.26 GPH			0.4 GPH 18"			6 GP	н	0.9 GPH		
EMITTER SPACING		18″	18″					12"			12"	
LATERAL (ROW) SPACING	18"	20″	22"	18″	20"	22"	12"	14"	16″	12"	14"	16"
BURIAL DEPTH			Bury	evenly	y thro	ugho	It the	zone	from	"to 6"		
APPLICATION RATE (INCHES/HOUR)	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.98	0.84	0.73	1.48	1.27	1.11
TIME TO APPLY ¼" OF WATER (MINUTES)	80	89	97	50	55	61	15	18	20	10	12	13

Even More Things To Consider

- Prioritize plants that receive water
- Know water stress symptoms
- Precondition to enhance survival
- Manage salinity

Managing Irrigation

• It's a "piece of cake"

- There are a lot of ingredients needed to make the cake
- There are several, distinct steps to put the ingredients together properly
- Each has to be managed as part of a program
- Changing one affects another
- The short version: "It's complex"

Managing Irrigation

- Anyone can do a mediocre (or less) job
- Doing it well requires diligence

- The question that will be asked:
 - Are the rewards worth the effort?

Thank you lroki@ucdavis.edu

Photo: L.Oki